

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Joseph Bobier

Title:

Missing Cycle Base Carrier Modulation

Serial No.:

09/511,470

Examiner:

Fan, Chieh M.

Filed:

February 23, 2000

Group Art Unit: 2634

Docket No.: ICL-2-002

Mail Stop AF

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

We are transmitting herewith the following attached items (as indicated with an "X"):

An Office Action Response (mailed July 26, 2004) (21 Pages);

Transmittal Form (PTO/SB/21);

Credit Card Authorization Form in the amount of \$905.00 to cover the 3rd month Extension of Time fee and After Final Submission fee;

X Return Receipt Postcard.

January 17, 2005

Date

Dennis L. Cook

Reg. No. 30,826

Attorney for Applicant

Undenthe Pa				Contract and T	Approved	for use	PTO/SB/21 (09-0 through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-003 J.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC
Undenthe Pa	perwork Reduction Act of 1995	no perso	ns are required to respond to a d Application Number	collection of inf	ormation u	nless it	disolava a valid OMB control number
RADEMARY TR	ANSMITTAL		Filing Date	Feb. 23, 2	000		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
FORM			First Named Inventor	Joseph Bo	eph Bobier		
	. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Art Unit	2634	· · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(to be used for	ell comesnondence after initial	filina)	Examiner Name	Fan, Chief	h M.		
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Total Number of Pages in This Submission			Attorney Docket Number	ICL-2-002	-2-002		
		ENG	OCUPES (A)	. 11 41 - 4 1	-1		
		ENU	LOSURES (Check a	all that apply	<i>"</i>	After /	Allowance Communication to TC
	smittal Form		Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers			Appea	al Communication to Board
Amendme Af Af Extension Express A Information Certified Cocumen Reply to M Incomplet Recomplet	fter Final ffidavits/declaration(s) of Time Request Abandonment Request on Disclosure Statement Copy of Priority	Rema	Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocat Change of Correspondence Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on C	e Address		Appea (Appea Propri Status Other below	peals and Interferences al Communication to TC al Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) etary Information a Letter Enclosure(s) (please Identify): pipt Postcard
	SIGNA	TURE (OF APPLICANT, ATT	ORNEY, C	R AGE	NT	
Firm Name	Law Offices of Dennis L.	Cook, PL	LC				
Signature							
Printed name	Dennis L. Cook, Esq.						
Date	1/17/2005			Reg. No.	30,826		
sufficient postage	at this correspondence is b as first class mail in an en	eing facs	CATE OF TRANSMIS imile transmitted to the USF ddressed to: Commissioner	PTO or depos	sited with	the Un	ited States Postal Service with Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on
the date shown be Signature	elow:	100	2600				
	name Amy E. Federsel	W.	These	·		Date	1/17/2005

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

AFTIN



Title: "Missing Cycle Based Carrier Modulation"
Serial No. 09/511,470
Attorney Docket No. ICL-2-002
Responsive to Office Action Mailed July 26, 2004
Date: January 17, 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	Joseph A. Bobier		
Serial No:	09/511,470) Group Art Unit: 26 3	34
Filed:	February 23, 2000) Examiner: Fan, Ch	ieh M
For: Missing Cycle Based Carrier Modulation)	
Attorney Doo	cket: ICL-2-002)	

Mail Stop RESPONSE AFTER FINAL Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

RESPONSE

In response to the Final Office Action mailed July 26, 2004, Applicant appreciates the Examiner's allowance of Claims 49-54 and the other claims that were objected to based on informalities or being dependent on rejected base claims such that they would be allowable if written in independent form. These informalities and rejections have been corrected in the amendment below.

The Examiner has rejected Claims 1, 2, 26-30 and 62 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hiramatsu (U.S. Pat. No. 5,136,614). The Examiner has stated in his rejection that Hiramatsu discloses a method for transmitting binary information from an information stream that anticipates the claimed invention. The Examiner rejected Claims 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 19, 20, 22, 24, 25, 31, 32, 35, 36, 37, 38, 41, 42, 43, 44, 47, 48, and 63 under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Hiramatsu in view of several other patents and combinations thereof.

Title: "Missing Cycle Based Carrier Modulation"

Serial No. 09/511,470

Attorney Docket No. ICL-2-002

Responsive to Office Action Mailed July 26, 2004

Date: January 17, 2005

Applicant certainly appreciates the Examiner's allowance of claims when the

informalities and rejections are corrected as has been done in the amendment below. Applicant

respectfully requests the Examiner allow this important application, as amended, to proceed to

issuance.

In rejecting the now cancelled claims, the Examiner has continued to rely on the figures

of Hiramatsu, and in particular, figures 8(A) and 8(B) to find one of the crucial elements of the

rejected claims, that is the beginning and ending of the transmitted set of integer cycles at the

zero crossing point. Applicant still disagrees with the Examiner's position that the figures alone

are sufficient disclosure when the specification of the prior art teaches against the interpretation

the Examiner gives to the figures. But, Applicant is willing to cancel the disallowed claims and

amend the claims as suggested by the Examiner in order to allow the application to issue, given

the almost 5 year time period this application has been pending.

2